PUPIL: International Journal of Teaching, Education and Learning
ISSN 2457-0648

J. Prabhakaran, 2026
Volume 10 Issue 3, pp. 01-11
Received: 13th August 2026
Revised: 20th October 2026, 22nd October 2026
Accepted: 03rd November 2026
Date of Publication: 16th November 2026
DOI- https://doi.org/10.20319/pijtel.2026.103.0111
This paper can be cited as: Prabhakaran, J. (2026). Digital Payments and Consumer Behavior in India: Adoption, Trust, Financial Inclusion and Spending Implications. PUPIL: International Journal of Teaching, Education and Learning, 10(3), 01-11
This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-commercial 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/ or send a letter to Creative Commons, PO Box 1866, Mountain View, CA 94042, USA.

DIGITAL PAYMENTS AND CONSUMER BEHAVIOR IN INDIA: ADOPTION, TRUST, FINANCIAL INCLUSION AND SPENDING IMPLICATIONS

J. Prabhakaran
Head of Department – BBA, Department of Management, CGC University, Mohali, India
Jkprabha1979@rediffmail.com

Abstract

India's digital payments ecosystem has expanded rapidly, with the Unified Payments Interface (UPI) becoming a central channel for person-to-person and person-to-merchant transactions. Yet transaction growth alone cannot establish whether consumers feel secure, understand payment processes, receive effective support or experience better financial outcomes. This conceptual paper examines the behavioral factors that may influence the adoption and continued use of digital payments in India. Drawing on the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT), it synthesizes five principal drivers—perceived usefulness, Convenience/ease of use, Trust, Digital literacy and Social influence—and considers perceived risk as a potential barrier. It also discusses how low-friction payments may support convenience and recordkeeping while potentially making unplanned spending easier for some consumers. A Consumer-centered conceptual framework and propositions are developed for future empirical testing. The paper uses secondary

contextual statistics reported by official sources and does not claim to present original survey data or statistically tested findings. It concludes that sustainable digital-payment adoption should be assessed through continued usage, confidence, accessibility, consumer protection and financial agency, rather than transaction volume alone.

Keywords:
Digital Payments, UPI, Consumer Behavior, Technology Adoption, Trust, Perceived Risk, Digital Literacy, Financial Inclusion, Spending Behavior

1. Introduction

Digital payment technology has changed the way consumers pay for groceries, transport, food delivery, utilities and online purchases. A payment is no longer simply the final step in a purchase, it is part of the overall consumer experience. Mobile interfaces, QR codes and real-time transfers can reduce the effort involved in completing transactions. At the same time, the consumer must trust the interface, understand the steps, protect credentials and know what to do when a transaction is delayed or disputed.

India provides an important setting for studying this relationship between payment infrastructure and consumer behavior. The National Payments Corporation of India (NPCI) reports that UPI processed approximately 24.07 billion transactions with a value of about ₹29.37 lakh crore in September 2026, with 756 banks live on the platform (NPCI, 2026). These figures indicate the scale of use, but they do not independently reveal differences in user confidence, digital capability, fraud exposure, satisfaction or spending discipline.

This paper therefore takes a Consumer-centered view. It considers not only whether consumers begin using digital payments, but also why they continue using them, which conditions may weaken their confidence, and how payment convenience may interact with spending decisions. The paper is conceptual: it integrates established technology-adoption frameworks with contextual evidence and proposes relationships for future empirical investigation. It does not report a new questionnaire, sample, or statistical analysis.

2. Objectives

To examine the development and significance of digital payments in India, particularly UPI.

To synthesize behavioral factors associated with adoption and continued use.

To discuss the roles of trust, perceived risk, digital literacy and consumer protection.

To explore potential relationships between payment convenience and consumer spending behavior.

To propose a conceptual framework and research propositions for subsequent empirical testing.

3. Context: India’s Digital Payments Ecosystem

India’s digital payment environment includes UPI, debit and credit cards, mobile wallets, internet and mobile banking, Aadhaar-enabled payment services, and electronic mandates for eligible recurring payments. These instruments serve different transaction needs and differ in acceptance, user

interface, access requirements and perceived security. UPI's interoperability and QR-based merchant acceptance have helped make account-to-account payments practical in everyday settings.

NPCI's monthly series records 24,068.38 million UPI transactions in September 2026, with a transaction value of ₹2,937,396.67 crore and 756 participating banks live on the platform (NPCI, 2026). Such statistics should be interpreted carefully: they describe transaction activity, not the number of unique users, the distribution of benefits, or the quality of each consumer's experience. Repeated use by established users can coexist with access barriers among others.

Consequently, the expansion of digital payment infrastructure creates a useful research question: what conditions convert availability into confident, sustained and beneficial use? This question requires attention to user perceptions, skills, social context, service reliability and institutional responses when problems occur.

4. Theoretical Foundations

4.1 Technology Acceptance Model

The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) identifies perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use as central beliefs shaping acceptance of technology (Davis, 1989). Applied to digital payments, perceived usefulness refers to whether consumers believe a payment method helps them complete transactions effectively—for example, paying a merchant quickly or maintaining an accessible transaction record.

Perceived ease of use concerns whether the process is understandable and requires manageable effort. Clear navigation, simple confirmation screens and accessible language may support these perceptions.

4.2 Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology

The Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT) integrates several technology-acceptance perspectives. Its key constructs include performance expectancy, effort expectancy, social influence and facilitating conditions (Venkatesh et al., 2003). For digital payments, performance expectancy concerns the expected practical benefit, effort expectancy concerns the effort required, social influence captures the role of family, friends, peers and merchants, and facilitating conditions include access to a suitable device, connectivity, assistance and relevant skills.

TAM and UTAUT provide a useful foundation, but digital payments also involve perceived financial risk, privacy, trust in providers and the ability to obtain redress. These contextual factors are

important because payment failures or fraud can have immediate financial consequences. The framework proposed here therefore extends a technology-acceptance perspective with trust, risk and digital literacy.

5. Behavioural Factors Influencing Adoption and Continued Use

5.1 Perceived usefulness and convenience

Consumers may value digital payments because they reduce the need to carry cash, support remote transactions, speed up checkout and provide transaction histories. Convenience can be particularly relevant for frequent low-value purchases and transfers. However, convenience is not a universal experience: connectivity problems, confusing interfaces, failed transactions or limited merchant acceptance can reduce perceived usefulness. The practical benefit of a payment method depends on the complete transaction journey, not merely the speed of a successful payment.

5.2 Trust and perceived security

Trust concerns the consumer's willingness to rely on the payment system and the institutions supporting it. Trust may be reinforced by accurate transaction confirmation, recognisable applications, transparent records, strong authentication and responsive customer support. Conversely, phishing, impersonation, fake QR codes and uncertainty about pending payments can undermine confidence. Security controls need to be paired with understandable communication: consumers should be able to distinguish a legitimate payment request from an attempt to obtain credentials or induce an unauthorized transfer.

5.3 Perceived risk and service recovery

Perceived risk may include the possibility of financial loss, privacy misuse, mistaken transfers, technical failure or difficulty obtaining a refund. Even when the actual probability of an adverse event is low, uncertainty about what will happen after a failure may discourage use. Accessible reporting channels, clear timelines, case tracking and understandable dispute-resolution procedures may reduce this uncertainty.

Service recovery is therefore not only an operational issue, it may shape trust and continued usage.

5.4 Digital literacy and facilitating conditions

Digital literacy includes the ability to navigate an application, interpret prompts, protect credentials, recognise suspicious messages and seek help. Access to a bank account alone does not guarantee these capabilities. Language accessibility, disability-inclusive design, reliable connectivity and assistance for first-time users are also relevant. Financial inclusion should consequently be assessed through access, capability, safety and the consumer's ability to make informed choices.

5.5 Social influence and habit

Family members, colleagues, friends and merchants can encourage adoption by demonstrating how a payment works and making the method a normal part of daily transactions. Repeated successful use may develop into habit. However, social influence should not be assumed to affect every demographic group in the same way. Differences by age, income, location, prior experience and access conditions require representative empirical testing rather than stereotyping.

6. Digital Payments and Consumer Spending Behavior

Digital payments can support spending management by producing transaction records that consumers can review, search and categorize. They may also make purchases easier by reducing checkout friction and removing the need to handle cash. These effects can coexist. A convenient payment method may improve access and transaction management while also making some unplanned purchases easier to complete.

Potential spending-related mechanisms include reduced payment friction, frequent small-value purchases, promotional notifications and the consumer's attention to accumulated expenditure. The direction and magnitude of these relationships should not be assumed in advance. Consumers who regularly review transaction histories or use budgeting tools may be better positioned to monitor spending, while others may overlook repeated small purchases. Financial literacy, self-control, purchase context, income and interface design may influence these outcomes.

A balanced research approach should therefore avoid claiming that digital payments necessarily increase impulsive spending or necessarily improve financial discipline. Instead, it should test whether payment convenience is associated with purchase frequency, unplanned purchasing, spending awareness and budgeting behavior, and whether these relationships vary across consumer groups.

7. Financial Inclusion and Consumer Protection

Meaningful digital financial inclusion extends beyond registration or transaction counts. Four dimensions are especially relevant. First, access requires bank accounts, suitable devices, connectivity and accepting merchants. Second, capability involves digital literacy, language accessibility and understanding of payment procedures. Third, safety and confidence require fraud awareness, effective authentication and accessible redress. Fourth, financial agency means consumers can choose the payment method that best fits their needs and manage expenditure with sufficient information.

Challenges include cybersecurity threats, failed or delayed transactions, uneven digital skills, privacy concerns, merchant reconciliation difficulties and accessibility barriers. Banks, payment providers, merchants and policymakers share responsibility for reducing these frictions. Consumer awareness initiatives should explain safe practices without placing the entire burden on users, system design, monitoring, complaint handling and accountability remain essential.

8. Proposed Conceptual Framework and Research Propositions

The proposed framework treats perceived usefulness, convenience/ease of use, trust, digital literacy and social influence as potential predictors of adoption intention. Adoption intention is expected to relate to actual use, while satisfaction, perceived reliability and trust may help explain continued usage. Perceived risk is proposed as a potential negative influence on adoption intention and continued use. Age, income, location and prior experience may moderate some relationships. These are theoretical propositions, not findings validated by the present paper.

P1: Perceived usefulness is positively associated with consumers' intention to use digital payment services.

P2: Perceived convenience/ease of use is positively associated with adoption intention.

P3: Trust in the payment service and its supporting institutions is positively associated with adoption intention and continued usage.

P4: Perceived risk is negatively associated with adoption intention and continued usage.

P5: Digital literacy and facilitating conditions are positively associated with actual use and confidence in digital payments.

P6: Social influence is positively associated with adoption intention, although its strength may vary by consumer segment.

P7: Continued usage is positively associated with satisfaction and perceived service reliability.

P8: The relationship between payment convenience and spending outcomes varies with financial literacy, spending self-control and purchase context.

9. Suggested Methodology for Future Empirical Testing

A subsequent empirical study could use a quantitative cross-sectional survey of adult consumers in India, with qualitative interviews as an optional extension. The sampling design should deliberately cover differences in location, age, income, digital-payment experience and usage intensity. Both regular users and, where relevant, non-users should be considered so that barriers to adoption are not overlooked.

A structured questionnaire could use established measures for perceived usefulness and ease of use, alongside carefully adapted measures of trust, perceived risk, digital literacy, social influence, adoption intention, satisfaction and continued usage. Spending-related measures should distinguish perceived spending awareness, budgeting, unplanned purchasing and purchase frequency rather than combining them into a single ambiguous construct.

Before testing hypotheses, researchers should assess content validity, pilot the questionnaire, examine reliability and construct validity, and document sampling limitations. Depending on the research questions, sample size and measurement properties, analysis could include descriptive statistics, regression or structural equation modelling. Sample size should be justified through statistical power and model requirements rather than selected arbitrarily. Ethical procedures should include informed consent, confidentiality, secure data storage and transparent reporting.

10. Managerial and Policy Implications

Banks and payment providers should simplify payment journeys while retaining effective security controls and clear transaction confirmation.

Failed, pending and reversed transactions should be explained in plain language, with accessible complaint channels and transparent status updates.

Digital-literacy programs should use local languages and practical demonstrations, including how to identify fraud and report problems.

Merchants should display legitimate payment options, verify receipts appropriately and maintain reliable reconciliation processes.

Marketing teams should use payment-related data responsibly and avoid manipulative interface designs or promotions that undermine informed choice.

Policymakers and industry bodies should evaluate consumer confidence, accessibility, dispute resolution and safety alongside transaction growth.

11. Limitations and Future Research

This paper is conceptual and relies on secondary contextual statistics and established technology-adoption literature. It does not provide original primary data, a systematic review protocol or a statistical validation of the proposed framework. The official transaction series describes activity at the system level and cannot be treated as evidence of individual consumer attitudes or causal effects.

Future studies could compare urban and rural consumers, examine trust and perceived risk as predictors of continued use, and investigate how failed transactions influence satisfaction, test the relationship between convenience and unplanned purchasing, and compare UPI with other payment methods or international instant-payment systems. Longitudinal designs could help distinguish initial adoption from habit formation and sustained use. Research should also investigate how accessibility, language, disability and customer-support experiences affect participation.

12. Conclusion

India's digital payment ecosystem demonstrates how large-scale infrastructure can become embedded in everyday commerce. However, adoption and continued use are behavioral as well as technological outcomes. Perceived usefulness, convenience, trust, digital literacy, social influence and perceived risk provide a useful basis for understanding why consumers may adopt or avoid digital payments. The implications for spending behavior are similarly conditional: digital payments can make transactions and recordkeeping easier, while low-friction purchasing may create challenges for some consumers' spending awareness.

The proposed framework offers a basis for empirical investigation. Banks, merchants, service providers and policymakers should seek to combine convenience with reliability, clear communication, effective redress and inclusive design. Ultimately, digital-payment progress should be evaluated not only by how many transactions occur, but also by whether consumers can participate safely, confidently and with meaningful financial agency.

References

Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. MIS Quarterly, 13(3), 319–340. https://doi.org/10.2307/249008

National Payments Corporation of India. (2026). UPI product statistics: Monthly statistics, September 2026. https://www.npci.org.in/product/upi/product-statistics

Reserve Bank of India. (n.d.). Payment system indicators. https://www.rbi.org.in/

Department of Financial Services, Ministry of Finance, Government of India. (2025). Annual report 2024–25. https://financialservices.gov.in/annual-reports

Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. MIS Quarterly, 27(3), 425–478. https://doi.org/10.2307/30036540

Worldpay. (2025). Global payments report 2025. https://worldpay.com/en/insights/articles/gpr-2025-released

Gefen, D., Karahanna, E., & Straub, D. W. (2003). Trust and TAM in online shopping: An integrated model. *MIS Quarterly*, *27*(1), 51–90. https://doi.org/10.2307/30036519

Mallat, N. (2007). Exploring consumer adoption of mobile payments: A qualitative study. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems, 16*(4), 413–432. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2007.08.001

Oliveira, T., Thomas, M., Baptista, G., & Campos, F. (2016). Mobile payment: Understanding the determinants of customer adoption and intention to recommend the technology. *Computers in Human Behavior, 61*, 404–414. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.03.030

Prelec, D., & Simester, D. (2001). Always leave home without it: A further investigation of the credit-card effect on willingness to pay. *Marketing Letters*, *12*(1), 5–12.

Soman, D. (2001). Effects of payment mechanism on spending behavior: The role of rehearsal and immediacy of payments. *Journal of Consumer Research, 27*(4), 460–474. https://doi.org/10.1086/319621

Zhou, T. (2013). An empirical examination of continuance intention of mobile payment services. *Decision Support Systems, 54*(2), 1085–1091. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2012.10.034